EXHIBIT C

Case 4:19-cv-04665 Document 1-3 Filed on 11/27/19 in TXSD Page 2 of 26 10/17/2019 2:54 PM

Marilyn Burgess - District Clerk Harris County

Envelope No. 37747826 By: Tahj Wimbley Filed: 10/17/2019 2:54 PM

CAUSE NO		
TEISHA AND DEREK D. DAFOE,	§	IN THE JUDICIAL COURT OF
	§	
Plaintiffs,	§	
	§	
V.	§	HARRIS COUNTY, TEXAS
ALL CT A TELEVISION E AND DE OBERTAL	§	
ALLSTATE VEHICLE AND PROPERTY	§	
INSURANCE COMPANY,	§	$\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{v}}$
	§	
Defendant.	§	OISTRICT COURT

PLAINTIFFS' ORIGINAL PETITION, JURY DEMAND, AND REQUEST FOR DISCLOSURE

TO THE HONORABLE JUDGE OF SAID COURT:

COME NOW, Teisha Dafoe and Derek D. Dafoe, ("Plaintiffs"), and files **Plaintiffs'**Original Petition, Jury Demand, and Request for Disclosure, complaining of Allstate Vehicle and Property Insurance Company ("Allstate") (or "Defendant") and for cause of action, Plaintiffs respectfully shows the following:

DISCOVERY CONTROL PLAN

1. Plaintiffs intend to conduct discovery under Level 3, Texas Rules of Civil Procedure 190.4.

PARTIES

- 2. Plaintiffs, Teisha Dafoe and Derek D. Dafoe, resides in Harris County, Texas.
- Defendant, Allstate Vehicle and Property Insurance Company, is an Illinois insurance company, engaged in the business of insurance in the State of Texas. Plaintiffs request service of citation upon Allstate, through its registered agent for service: c/o CT
 Corporation System, 1999 Bryan Street, Suite 900, Dallas Texas 75201-3136.

 Plaintiffs request service at this time.

JURISDICTION

4. The Court has jurisdiction over Allstate because this Defendant engages in the business of insurance in the State of Texas, and the causes of action arise out of Allstate's business activities in the state, including those in Harris County, Texas, with reference to this specific case.

VENUE

Venue is proper in Harris County, Texas because the insured property is located in Harris County, Texas, and all or a substantial part of the events giving rise to this lawsuit occurred in Harris County, Texas. TEX. CIV. PRAC. & REM. CODE § 15.032.

FACTS

- 6. Plaintiffs assert claims for breach of contract, common law bad faith, violations of sections 541 and 542 of the Texas Insurance Code and violations of the Texas DTPA.
- 7. Plaintiffs own an Allstate Vehicle and Property Insurance Company insurance policy, number 000836920776 ("the Policy"). At all relevant times, Plaintiffs owned the insured premises located at 13522 regasus Road Cypress, Texas 77429 ("the Property").
- 8. Allstate Vehicle and Property Insurance Company or its agent sold the Policy, insuring the Property, to Plaintiffs. Allstate Vehicle and Property Insurance Company represented to Plaintiffs that the Policy included hail and windstorm On or about August 9, 2018, the Property sustained extensive damage resulting from a severe storm that passed through the Cypress/Harris County, Texas area.
- 9. In the aftermath of the hail and windstorm, Plaintiffs submitted a claim to Allstate against the Policy for damage to the Property. Allstate assigned claim number 0543818546 to

Plaintiffs claim.

- 10. Plaintiffs asked Allstate to cover the cost of damage to the Property pursuant to the Policy.
- Allstate hired or assigned its agent, Billy Hearn, to inspect and adjust the claim. Hearn conducted an inspection on or about May 25, 2019, according to the information contained in his estimate. Hearn's findings generated an estimate of damages totaling \$1,363.72.

 After application of depreciation and deductible, Plaintiffs were left without adequate funds to make repairs on the entirety of their claim.
- 12. Allstate, through its agent, Hearn, conducted a substandard and improper inspection of the Property, which grossly undervalued the cost of repairs in its estimate and yielded an unrealistic amount to underpay coverage.
- 13. Allstate and Hearn have ultimately refused full coverage which includes, but is not limited to, replacement of the roof and additional exterior damage. Specifically, Hearn found the damage to the property was under Plaintiffs' deductible.
- 14. The damage to Plaintiffs' Property is currently estimated at \$27,959.33.
- 15. Hearn had a vested interest in undervaluing the claims assigned to him by Allstate in order to maintain his employment. The disparity in the number of damaged items in his report compared to that of the third-party inspector's as well as the difference in valuation is evidence of unfair claims handling practices on the part of Allstate.
- 16. Furthermore, Hearn was aware of Plaintiffs deductible prior to inspecting the Property. had advanced knowledge of the damages he needed to document in order to be able to deny the claim.

- Hearn misrepresented the actual amount of damage Plaintiffs Property sustained in addition to how much it would cost to repair the damage. Hearn made these misrepresentations as a licensed Texas adjuster with the hope that Plaintiffs would rely on his expertise and accept the bad faith estimate as a true representation of the damages.
- 18. After reviewing Plaintiffs Policy, Hearn misrepresented that the damage was caused by non-covered perils. used his expertise to fabricate plausible explanations for why visible damage to Plaintiffs Property would not be covered under the policy.
- 19. As stated above, Allstate and Hearn improperly and unreasonably adjusted Plaintiffs claim.

 Without limitation, Allstate and Hearn misrepresented the cause of, scope of, and cost to repair damages to Plaintiffs Property, as well as the amount of insurance coverage for Plaintiffs claim or loss under the Policy.
- Allstate and Hearn made these and other false representations to Plaintiffs, either knowingly or recklessly, as a positive assertion, without knowledge of the truth. Allstate and Hearn made these false representations with the intent that Plaintiffs act in accordance with the misrepresentations regarding the grossly deficient damage and repair estimates prepared by Hearn.
- Plaintiffs relied on Allstate and Hearn's misrepresentations, including but not limited to those regarding coverage, the cause of, scope of, and cost to repair the damage to Plaintiffs

 Property Plaintiffs' damages are the result of Plaintiffs reliance on these misrepresentations.
- 22. Upon receipt of the inspection and estimate reports from Hearn, Allstate failed to assess the claim thoroughly. Based upon Hearn's grossly unreasonable, intentional, and reckless

- failure to investigate the claim properly prior to underpaying coverage, Allstate failed to provide coverage due under the Policy, and Plaintiffs suffered damages.
- 23. Because Allstate and Hearn failed to provide coverage for Plaintiffs' insurance claim,
 Plaintiffs has been unable to complete any substantive repairs to the Property. This has
 caused additional damage to Plaintiffs' Property.
- 24. Furthermore, Allstate and Hearn failed to perform their contractual duties to Plaintiffs under the terms of the Policy. Specifically, Hearn performed an unreasonable and substandard inspection that allowed Allstate to refuse to pay full proceeds due under the Policy, although due demand was made for an amount sufficient to cover the damaged Property, and all conditions precedent to recover upon the Policy were carried out by Plaintiffs.
- 25. Allstate's and Hearn's misrepresentations, unreasonable delays, and continued denials constitute a breach of the statutory obligations under Chapters 541 and 542 of the Texas Insurance Code. Thus, the breach of the statutory duties constitutes the foundation of a breach of the insurance contract between Defendant and Plaintiffs.
- Allstate's and Hearn's conduct constitute a violation of the Texas Insurance Code, Unfair Settlement Practices TEX. INS. CODE §541.060(a) (1). Allstate and Hearn have failed to settle Plaintiffs claim in a fair manner, although they were aware of their liability to Plaintiffs under the Policy. Specifically, Allstate and Hearn have failed to, in an honest and fair manner, balance their own interests in maximizing gains and limiting disbursements, with the interests of Plaintiffs by failing to timely pay Plaintiffs coverage due under the Policy.

- 27. Allstate's and Hearn's conduct constitute a violation of the Texas Insurance Code, Unfair Settlement Practices. TEX. INS. CODE §541.060(a) (2) (A). Allstate and Hearn failed to provide Plaintiffs a reasonable explanation for underpayment of the claim.
- Additionally, after Allstate received statutory demand on or about July 22, 2019, Allstate has not communicated that any future settlements or payments would be forthcoming to pay for the entire loss covered under the Policy, nor did it provide any explanation for failing to settle Plaintiffs claim properly.
- Allstate's and Hearn's conduct constitute a violation of the Texas Insurance Code, Unfair Settlement Practices. TEX. INS. CODE §541.060(a) (4). Hearn performed a biased and intentionally substandard inspection designed to allow Allstate to refuse to provide full coverage to Plaintiffs under the Policy.
- 30. Specifically, Allstate and Hearn performed an outcome-oriented investigation of Plaintiffs' claims, which resulted in a biased unfair, and inequitable evaluation of Plaintiffs' losses on the Property.
- Allstate's conduct constitutes a violation of the Texas Insurance Code, Prompt Payment of Claims. TEX. INS. CODE §542.055. Due to Hearn's subpar inspection, Allstate failed to reasonably accept or deny Plaintiffs full and entire claim within the statutorily mandated time after receiving all necessary information.
- Allstate's conduct constitutes a violation of the Texas Insurance Code, Prompt Payment of Claims. TEX. INS. CODE §542.056. Due to 's intentional undervaluation of Plaintiffs' claims, Allstate failed to meet its obligations under the Texas Insurance Code regarding timely payment of the claim. Specifically, 's understatement of the damage to the Property

- caused Allstate to delay full payment of Plaintiffs claim longer than allowed, and Plaintiffs have not received rightful payment for Plaintiffs claim.
- 33. Allstate and 's wrongful acts and omissions have forced Plaintiffs to retain the professional services of the attorneys and law firm representing him with respect to these causes of action.

CAUSES OF ACTION AGAINST DEFENDANT ALLSTATE VEHICLE AND PROPERTY INSURANCE COMPANY

34. All paragraphs from the fact section of this petition are hereby incorporated into this section.

BREACH OF CONTRACT

- 35. Allstate is liable to Plaintiffs for intentional violations of the Texas Insurance Code, and intentional breach of the common law duty of good faith and fair dealing. It follows, then, that the breach of the statutory duties constitutes the foundation of an intentional breach of the insurance contract between Allstate and Plaintiffs.
- 36. Allstate's failure and/or refusal to pay adequate coverage as obligated under the Policy, and under the laws of the State of Texas, constitutes a breach of Allstate's insurance contract with Plaintiffs.

NONCOMPLIANCE WITH THE TEXAS INSURANCE CODE: UNFAIR SETTLEMENT PRACTICES

- 37. Allstate's conduct constitutes multiple violations of the Texas Insurance Code, Unfair Settlement Practices. TEX. INS. CODE §541.060(a). All violations under this article are actionable by TEX. INS. CODE §541.151.
- Allstate's unfair settlement practice of misrepresenting to Plaintiffs material facts relating to coverage constitutes an unfair method of competition and a deceptive act or practice in the business of insurance. TEX. INS. CODE §541.060(a) (2)
- Allstate's unfair settlement practice of failing to attempt in good faith to make a prompt, fair, and equitable settlement of the claim, even though Allstate's liability under the Policy was reasonably clear, constitutes an unfair method of competition and a deceptive act or practice in the business of insurance. TEX INS. CODE §541.060(a) (2) (A).
- 40. Allstate's unfair settlement practice of failing to provide Plaintiffs a prompt and reasonable explanation of the basis in the Policy, in relation to the facts or applicable law, for underpayment and denial of the claim, constitutes an unfair method of competition and a deceptive act or practice in the business of insurance. TEX. INS. CODE §541.060(a) (3).
- 41. Allstate's unfair settlement practice of refusing to pay Plaintiffs full claim without conducting a reasonable investigation constitutes an unfair method of competition and a deceptive act or practice in the business of insurance. TEX. INS. CODE §541.060(a) (7).

NONCOMPLIANCE WITH THE TEXAS INSURANCE CODE: THE PROMPT PAYMENT OF CLAIMS

- 42. Allstate's conduct constitutes multiple violations of the Texas Insurance Code, Prompt Payment of Claims. All violations made under this article are actionable under TEX. INS. CODE §542.060.
- Allstate's delay in paying Plaintiffs claim following receipt of all items, statements, and forms reasonably requested and required, for longer than the amount of time provided, constitutes a non-prompt payment of the claim. TEX. INS, CODE §542.058.

BREACH OF THE DUTY OF GOOD FAITH AND FAIR DEALING

- 44. Allstate's conduct constitutes a breach of the common law duty of good faith and fair dealing owed to an insured in insurance contracts.
- 45. Allstate's failure to adequately and reasonably investigate and evaluate Plaintiffs claim, even though Allstate knew or should have known by the exercise of reasonable diligence that liability was reasonably clear constitutes a breach of the duty of good faith and fair dealing.

DTPA VIOLATIONS

- Act ("DTPA"), TEX. BUS. & COM. CODE 17.41–63. Plaintiffs are consumers of goods and services provided by Allstate pursuant to the DTPA. Plaintiffs have met all conditions precedent to bring this cause of action against Allstate. Specifically, Allstate's violations of the DTPA include, without limitation, the following matters:
 - A. By its acts, omissions, failures, and conduct, Allstate has violated sections 17.46(b)(2), (5), (7), (9), (12), (20) and (24) of the DTPA. Allstate's violations

include, (1) unreasonable delays in the investigation, adjustment, and resolution of Plaintiffs claim, (2) failure to give Plaintiffs the benefit of the doubt, and (3) failure to pay for the proper repair of Plaintiffs property when liability has become reasonably clear, which gives Plaintiffs the right to recover under section 17.46(b)(2).

- B. Allstate represented to Plaintiffs that the Policy and Allstate's adjusting agent and investigative services had characteristics or benefits they did not possess, which gives Plaintiffs the right to recover under section 17.46(b)(5) of the DTPA.
- C. Allstate represented to Plaintiffs that Allstate's Policy and adjusting services were of a particular standard, quality, or grade when they were of another, in violation of section 17.46(b)(7) of the DTPA.
- D. Allstate advertised the Policy and adjusting services with the intent not to sell them as advertised, in violation of section 17.46(b)(9) of the DTPA.
- E. Allstate breached an express warranty that the damages caused by wind and hail would be covered under the Policy. This breach entitles Plaintiffs to recover under sections 17.46(b) (12) and (20) and 17.50(a) (2) of the DTPA.
- F. Allstate's actions are unconscionable in that Allstate took advantage of Plaintiffs lack of knowledge, ability, and experience to a grossly unfair degree. Allstate's unconscionable conduct gives Plaintiffs a right to relief under section 17.50(a) (3) of the DTPA; and

- G. Allstate's conduct, acts, omissions, and failures, as described in this petition, are unfair practices in the business of insurance in violation of section 17.50(a)(4) of the DTPA.
- 47. Each of the above-described acts, omissions, and failures of Allstate is a producing cause of Plaintiffs damages. All of Allstate's acts, omissions, and failures were committed "knowingly" and "intentionally," as defined by the Texas Deceptive Trade Practices Act.

KNOWLEDGE

48. Defendant made each of the acts described above, together and singularly, "knowingly," as defined in the Texas Insurance Code, and each was a producing cause of Plaintiffs' damages described herein.

WAIVER AND ESTOPPEL

49. Defendant waived and is estopped from asserting any coverage defenses, conditions, exclusions, or exceptions to coverage not contained in any reservation of rights letter to Plaintiffs.

DAMAGES

- The damages caused to the Property have not been properly addressed or repaired since the claim was made causing further damage to the Property, and undue hardship and burden to Plaintiffs. These damages are a direct result of Defendant's mishandling of Plaintiffs' claims in violation of the laws set forth above.
- 51. Plaintiffs currently estimate that actual damages to the Property under the Policy are \$27,959.33.

- Plaintiffs would show that all of the aforementioned acts, taken together or singularly, constitute the producing causes of the damages sustained. The above described acts, omissions, failures, and conduct of Defendant has caused Plaintiffs' damages, which include, without limitation, the cost to properly repair Plaintiffs Property and any investigative and engineering fees incurred.
- 53. For breach of contract, Plaintiffs are entitled to regain the benefit of their bargain, which is the amount of their claims, consequential damages, together with attorney's fees.
- For noncompliance with the DTPA and Texas Insurance Code, Unfair Settlement Practices, Plaintiffs are entitled to actual damages, which include the loss of benefits owed pursuant to the Policy, mental anguish, court costs, and attorney's fees. For knowing and intentional conduct of the acts described above, Plaintiffs ask for three (3) times his actual damages. TEX. INS. CODE §541.152 and TEX. BUS. & COM. CODE 17.50(b) (1).
- 55. For noncompliance with Texas Insurance Code, Prompt Payment of Claims, Plaintiffs are entitled to the amount of their claims, plus an eighteen percent (10%) per annum penalty on those claims, as damages, as well as pre-judgment interest and reasonable attorney's fees. TEX. INS. CODE §542.060.
- For breach of the common law duty of good faith and fair dealing, Plaintiffs are entitled to compensatory damages, including all forms of loss resulting from Defendant's breach of duty, such as additional costs, economic hardship, losses due to the nonpayment of the amount Allstate owed, exemplary damages, and damages for emotional distress.
- 57. Defendant's breach of the common law duty of good faith and fair dealing was committed intentionally, with a conscious indifference to Plaintiffs' rights and welfare, and with

"malice," as that term is defined in Chapter 41 of the Texas Civil Practices and Remedies Code. These violations are the type of conduct which the State of Texas protects its citizens against by the imposition of exemplary damages. Therefore, Plaintiffs seek the recovery of exemplary damages in an amount determined by the finder of fact sufficient to punish Defendant for their wrongful conduct, and to set an example to deter Defendant and others from committing similar acts in the future.

- 58. For the prosecution and collection of this claim, Plaintiffs have been compelled to engage the services of the attorneys subscribed to this pleading. Therefore, under Chapter 38 of the Texas Civil Practices and Remedies Code, sections 341 and 542 of the Texas Insurance Code, and section 17.50 of the DTPA, Plaintiffs are entitled to recover a sum for the reasonable and necessary services of Plaintiffs attorneys in the preparation and trial of this action, including any appeals to the Court of Appeals and/or the Supreme Court of Texas.
- As required by Rule 47(b) of the Texas Rules of Civil Procedure, Plaintiffs' counsel states that the damages sought are in an amount within the jurisdictional limits of this Court. As required by Rule 47(c)(4) of the Texas Rules of Civil Procedure, Plaintiffs' counsel states that Plaintiffs seeks only monetary relief of no less than \$100,000.00, but no more than \$200,000.00, including damages of any kind, penalties, costs, expenses, pre-judgment interest, and attorney fees. A jury will ultimately determine the monetary relief actually awarded however. Plaintiffs also seek pre-judgment and post-judgment interest at the highest legal rate.

REQUESTS FOR DISCLOSURE

60. Under Texas Rules of Civil Procedure 190 and 194, Plaintiffs request that Defendant disclose, within fifty (50) days from the date this request is served, the information or material described in Rules 190.2(b)(6) and 194.2.

JURY DEMAND

Plaintiffs hereby request a jury trial for all causes of action alleged herein, tried before a jury consisting of citizens residing in Harris County, Texas. Plaintiffs hereby tender the appropriate jury fee.

PRAYER

Plaintiffs pray that Defendant, Allstate Vehicle and Property Insurance Company, be cited and served to appear, and that upon trial hereof, , recovers from Defendant, Allstate Vehicle and Property Insurance Company such sums as would reasonably and justly compensate Plaintiffs in accordance with the rules of law and procedure, as to actual, consequential, and treble damages under the Texas Insurance Code and Texas Deceptive Trade Practices Act, and all punitive, additional, and exemplary damages as may be found. In addition, Plaintiffs requests the award of attorney's fees for the trial and any appeal of this case, for all costs of Court expended on Plaintiffs behalf, for pre-judgment and post-judgment interest as allowed by law, and for any other relief, at law or in equity, to which Plaintiffs may show themselves justly entitled.

Respectfully submitted,

CHAD T. WILSON LAW FIRM PLLC

By: <u>/s/ Chad T. Wilson</u>

Chad T. Wilson Bar No. 24079587

Tara L. Peveto
Bar No. 24076621
455 E Medical Center Blvd, Ste 555
Webster, Texas 77598
Telephone: (832) 415-1432
Facsimile: (281) 940-2137
eService to:
eservice@cwilsonlaw.com
cwilson@cwilsonlaw.com
tpeveto@cwilsonlaw.com

ATTORNEYS FOR PLAINTIFFS

10/17/2019 2:54:51 PM

CAUSE NUMBER (FOR CLERK USE ONLY):

Over \$200,000 but not more than \$1,000,000

Over \$1,000,000

COURT (FOR CLERK USE HALF)'S County

USE NUMBER (FOR CLERK USE ONLY): _______ COURT (FOR CLERK USE ONLY): ______ Envelope No: 37747826

STYLED TEISHA AND DEREK D. DAFOE. V. ALLSTATE VEHICLE AND PROPERTY FIRST: 10/14/2018/2:64.51 PM

1. Contact information for person completing case information sheet: Names of parties in case: Person or entity completing sheet is: Plaintiff(s)/Petitioner(s): Attorney for Plaintiff/Petitioner ☐ Pro Se Plaintiff/Petitioner Teisha Dafoe ☐Title IV-D Agency Chad T. Wilson cwilson@cwilsonlaw.com Derek D. Dafoe Other: Defendant(s)/Respondent(s): Address: Telephone: Additional Parties in Child Support Case: 832-415-1432 Allstate Vehicle and 455 E Medical Center Blvd., Ste 555 Property Insurance Custodial Parent: Company Fax: City/State/Zip: 281-940-2137 Webster, TX 77598 Non-Custodial Parent: State Bar No: Signature: [Attach additional page as necessary to list all parties] Presumed Father: 24079587 2. Indicate case type, or identify the most important issue in the case (select only 1): Civil Family Law Post-judgment Actions (non-Title IV-D) Injury or Damage Real Property Marriage Relationship Contract ☐ Assault/Battery ☐Eminent Domain/ Debt/Contract Annulment Enforcement Construction Condemnation Declare Marriage Void ☐Modification—Custody ☐Debt/Contract □ Defamation ☐Partition ☐ Modification—Other Divorce Fraud/Misrepresentation ☐Quiet Title ☐With Children Malpractice Title IV-D Other Debt/Contract: ■ Accounting Trespass to Try Title ☐No Children ☐ Enforcement/Modification Legal Other Property: Paternity Foreclosure ☐Reciprocals (UIFSA) ☐Home Equity—Expedited Other Professional Support Order Other Foreclosure Liability: Related to Criminal Franchise ☐Insurance ☐Landlord/Tenant Matters Other Family Law Parent-Child Relationship ☐ Motor Vehicle Accident □ Expunction ☐Enforce Foreign Adoption/Adoption with Premises Ludgment Nisi Termination Judgment ■ Non-Competition Product Liability Non-Disclosure ☐Habeas Corpus ☐Child Protection ☐Asbestos/Silica Partnership Seizure/Forfeiture □Name Change Child Support Other Product Liability Other Contract: ☐Protective Order Custody or Visitation List Product: Gestational Parenting Pre-indictment ☐Removal of Disabilities Other Injury or Damage Other: of Minority ☐Grandparent Access Parentage/Paternity Other: Termination of Parental Rights Other Civil Employment Other Parent-Child: Discrimination ☐ Administrative Appeal ☐Lawyer Discipline Retaliation ☐ Antitrust/Unfair Perpetuate Testimony Competition ☐ Termination ☐ Securities/Stock ☐Code Violations ☐Workers' Compensation ☐ Tortious Interference Other Employment: ☐Foreign Judgment Other: ☐ Intellectual Property Probate & Mental Health Tax ☐Tax Appraisal Probate/Wills/Intestate Administration ☐Guardianship—Adult Dependent Administration ☐ Tax Delinquency ☐Guardianship—Minor ☐Other Tax ☐ Independent Administration ☐Mental Health Other Estate Proceedings Other: 3. Indicate procedure or remedy, if applicable (may select more than 1): Appeal from Municipal or Justice Court Declaratory Judgment ☐Prejudgment Remedy Protective Order ☐ Arbitration-related Garnishment Receiver Attachment Interpleader ☐Bill of Review License ☐ Sequestration ☐ Temporary Restraining Order/Injunction ☐ Mandamus ☐ Certiorari ☐Class Action ☐Post-judgment 4. Indicate damages sought (do not select if it is a family law case): Less than \$100,000, including damages of any kind, penalties, costs, expenses, pre-judgment interest, and attorney fees Less than \$100,000 and non-monetary relief Over \$100,000 but not more than \$200,000

Case 4:19-cv-04665 Document 1-3 Filed on 11/27/19 in TXSD

CIVIL PROCESS REQUEST FORM

10/17/2019 2:54:51 PM

Macityan Bourgle Sc. - District Clerk
Harris County
Envelope No: 37747826
By: WIMBLEY, TAHJ M
Filed: 10/17/2019 2:54:51 PM

CASE NUMBER:	CURRENT COURT:		
TYPE OF INSTRUMENT TO BE SERVED: <u>PLAINT</u> <u>DISCLOSURE</u>	TFF'S ORIGINAL PETITION, JUI	RY DEMAND, AND REQUEST FOR	
FILE DATE OF MOTION: OCTOBER 17, 2019			
SERVICE TO BE ISSUED ON (Please List Exactly As	·	ear ding To Be Served):	
1. NAME: ALLSTATE VEHICLE AND PROPERTY			
ADDRESS: 1999 BRYAN STREET, SUITE 900, DA			
AGENT, (if applicable): CT CORPORATION SYSTE			
TYPE OF SERVICE/PROCESS TO BE ISSUED (see r			
SERVICE BY (check one):	☐ CONSTABLE		
☐ ATTORNEY PICK-UP ☐ CIVIL PROCESS SERVER - Authorized		ist. Box 85) Phone: (469) 291-5005;	
Пман	email: sean@ld	mprocess.com	
☐ MAIL ☐ PUBLICATION:	CERTIFIED MAIL		
	USE DOOR, or		
☐ OTHER, explain	ER OF YOUR CHOICE:		
· · ·			
ATTENT For all Services Provided by the DISTRCT CLERKS (Party, we require that the Requesting Party provide a Thank you,	ION: Effective June 1, 2010 OFFICE requiring our office to N Self-Addressed Stamped Envelop	AAIL something back to the Requesting be with sufficient postage for mail back.	
************	***********	***********	
2. NAME:			
ADDRESS:			
AGENT, (if applicable):			
TYPE OF SERVICE/PROCESS TO BE ISSUED (see r	vavarsa for snacific type)*		
SERVICE BY (check one):	everse for specific type).		
ATTORNEY PICK-UP	☐ CONSTABLE		
☐ CIVIL PROCESS SERVER - Authorized	Person to Pick-up:	Phone:	
☐ MAIL	☐ CERTIFIED MAIL		
□ PUBLICATION □ □ COUPTHO	HIGE DOOD		
Type of Publication: COURTHO NEWSPAPI	OUSE DOOR, or ER OF YOUR CHOICE:		
OTHER explain		********	

Case 4:19-cv-04665 Document 1-3 Filed on 11/27/19 in TXSD Page 19 of 26

Case 4:15 01 04000 Bootament 1 0 Filed on 11/27/15	11 1765 1 ago 10 01 20
<u>INSTRUMENTS TO BE SERVED:</u>	PROCESS TYPES:
(Fill In Instrument Sequence Number, i.e. 1st, 2nd, etc.)	
XXXX PLAINTIFF'S ORIGINAL PETITON, JURY DEMAND AND REQUEST	NON WRIT:
FOR DISCLOSURE ORIGINAL PETITION	X CITATION
AMENDED PETITION	ALIAS CITATION
SUPPLEMENTAL PETITION	PLURIES CITATION
	SECRETARY OF STATE CITATION
	COMMISSIONER OF INSURANCE
COUNTERCLAIM	HIGHWAY COMMISSIONER
AMENDED COUNTERCLAIM	CITATION BY PUBLICATION
SUPPLEMENTAL COUNTERCLAIM	NOTICE
	SHORT FORM NOTICE
CROSS-ACTION:	DD F CEDT CON CALLED
AMENDED CROSS-ACTION	PRECEPT (SHOW CAUSE)
SUPPLEMENTAL CROSS-ACTION	RULE 106 SERVICE
THIRD-PARTY PETITION:	SUBPOENA
AMENDED THIRD-PARTY PETITION	SUBFREINA
SUPPLEMENTAL THIRD-PARTY PETITION	WRITS:
	ATTACHMENT (PROPERTY)
INTERVENTION:	ATACHMENT (WITNESS)
AMENDED INTERVENTION	ATTACHMENT (PERSON)
SUPPLEMENTAL INTERVENTION	
	GERTION AND
INTERPLEADER	CERTIORARI
AMENDED INTERPLEADER SUPPLEMENTAL INTERPLEADER	EXECUTION
SOFFLEWENTAL INTERFEEADER	EXECUTION AND ORDER OF SALE
	EXECUTION MIND ORDER OF SIXEE
	GARNISHMENT BEFORE JUDGMENT
INJUNCTION	GARNISHMENT AFTER JUDGMENT
SUPPLEMENTAL INTERVENTION INTERPLEADER AMENDED INTERPLEADER SUPPLEMENTAL INTERPLEADER INJUNCTION MOTION TO MODIFY SHOW CAUSE ORDER TEMPORARY RESTRAINING ORDER	
CHOW CAUGE OPPED	HABEAS CORPUS
SHOW CAUSE ORDER	INJUNCTION TEMPORARY RESTRAINING ORDER
TEMPORARY RESTRAINING ORDER	TEMPORARY RESTRAINING ORDER
	PROTECTIVE ORDER (FAMILY CODE)
	PROTECTIVE ORDER (CIVIL CODE)
	11101201112 012211 (01112 0022)
BILL OF DISCOVERY:	
ORDER TO:	POSSESSION (PERSON)
(specify)	POSSESSION (PROPERTY)
MOTION TO:	
(specify)	
o Contraction of the Contraction	SCIRE FACIAS
	SEQUESTRATION
	SUPERSEDEAS
(O)*	



10/17/2019 2:54:51 PM on 11/27/19 in TXSD Maniya Burgess - District Clerk Harris County Envelope No: 37747826 By: WIMBLEY, TAHJ M Filed: 10/17/2019 2:54:51 PM

October 17, 2019

Harris County District Clerk Marilyn Burgess	
201 Caroline, Suite 420	
Houston, Texas 77002	
RE: Cause No. ; Teisha and	Derek D. Dafoe v. Allstate Vehicle and Property
	idicial District Court, Harris County, Texas
Dear Ms. Burgess:	
	itation for the following and have served via Certified
Process Server:	
Allstate Vehicle and Property Insurance Con	npany
c/o C T Corporation System	
1999 Bryan Street, Suite 900	
Dallas, Texas 75201-3136	
M 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	
- (6	Box 85 for Sean Hollenbeck at LDM to pick up the
citation. His e-mail is sean@ldmprocess	M1.
If any additional information is need cooperation and assistance.	ed, feel free to contact this office. Thank you for your
() v	C:1
	Sincerely yours,
	Chad T. Wilson
	Texas State Bar No. 24079587
	CWilson@cwilsonlaw.com



MARILYN BURGESS

HARRIS COUNTY DISTRICT CLERK

Civil Process Pick-Up Form

CAUSE NUMBER 2019-76330

ATY

CIV X

COURT 055

REQUE	STING ATTOR	NEY/FIRM NOT	THICATION
*ATTORNEY: WILSON, O	CHAD		PH: 832.415.1432
*CIVIL PROCESS SERVE	R: SEAN LDM		BOX FOR PICK UP: 85
*PH: 469.291.5005			
*PERSON NOTIFIED, SVC REA	DY: Daisy		•
* NOTIFIED BY: K.S. *DATE: DAY 201	7		
Type of Service Document: CI	TATION	_	umber 73687192
Type of Service Document:		Tracking N	
Type of Service Document:		Tracking N	
Type of Service Document:	<u> </u>	Tracking N	
Type of Service Document:	<u> </u>	Tracking No	
Type of Service Document		Tracking N	ımber
Process papers prepared by: Date: 10/18/19		WAITING: 11/1	8/19
*Process papers released to: 7/3-80-590 *(CONTACT NUMBER) *Process papers released by:	(Sic	INT NAME) GNATURE) Thomas INT NAME)	25C17104
_		Thomas	
	(SIC	GNATURE)	
* Date:	, 2019 Time: _	A	M / PM
-		FR'S MEMORANDU	- M

RECORDER'S MEMORANDOM
This instrument is of poor quality
at the time of imaging

Page 22 of 26 11/5/2019 4:43 PM Marilyn Burgess - District Clerk Harris County

Envelope No. 38253441 By: Justin Fitzgerald Filed: 11/5/2019 4:43 PM

COPY OF PLEADING PROVIDED BY PLTD.

CAUSE NO. 201976330

0.00 RECEIPT NO. CIV TR # 73687192 PLAINTIFF: DAFOE, TEISHA In The 55th Judicial District Court DEFENDANT: ALLSTATE VEHICLE AND PROPERTY INSURANCE COMPANY of Harris County, Texas 55TH DISTRICT COURT Houston, TX CITATION THE STATE OF TEXAS County of Harris TO: ALLSTATE VEHICLE AND PROPERTY INSURANCE COMPANY (AN ILLINOIS INSURANCE COMPANY) BY SERVING ITS REGISTERED AGENT CT CORPORATION SYSTEM 1999 BRYAN STREET SUITE 900 DALLAS TX 75201 - 3136 Attached is a copy of PLAINTIFFS ORIGINAL PETITION JURY DEMAND AND REQUEST FOR DISCLOSURE This instrument was filed on the 17th day of October, 2019, in the above cited cause number and court. The instrument attached describes the claim against you. YOU HAVE BEEN SUED, You may employ an attorney. If you or you attorney do not file a written answer with the District Clerk who issued this citation by 10:00 a.m on the Monday next following the expiration of 20 days after you were served this citation and petition, a default judgment may be taken against you. TO OFFICER SERVING: This citation was issued on 18th day of October, 2019, under my hand and seal of said Court. Issued at request of: MARILYN BURGESS, District Clerk WILSON, CHAD TROY Harris County, Texas 455 EAST MEDICAL CENTER BLVD 201 Caroline, Houston, Texas 77002 SUITE 555 (P.O. Box 4651, Houston, Texas 77210) WEBSTER, TX 77598 Tel: (832) 415-1432 Bar No.: 24079587 Generated By: WIMBLEY, TAHJ MESHAE IS1//11359698 OFFICER/AUTHORIZED PERSON RETURN on the 25B (ecuted at (address) on the Antoine He Williams

ag ____ copy (ies) of the Petition by delivering to true copy of this Citation together with the accompanying attached thereto and I endorsed on said copy of the Citation the date of delivery. To certify which & affix my hand officially this Deputy Kodsurs, known to me to be the person whose the foregoing return, personally appeared. After being by me duly sworn, signature appears on he/she stated that this citation was executed by him/her in the exact manner recited on the SWORN TO AND SUBSCRIBED BEFORE ME, on this A DAISY GARCIA

73687192

© Notary Public, State of Texas Comm. Expires 10-03-2022 Marry ID 131747948

Marilyn Burgess - District Clerk Harris County
Envelope No. 38449536

By: Iliana Perez Filed: 11/13/2019 11:07 AM

CAUSE NO. 201976330

TEISHA AND DEREK D. DAFOE,

IN THE DISTRICT COURT

Plaintiffs,

V.

55TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT

ALLSTATE VEHICLE AND PROPERTY INSURANCE COMPANY

Defendant.

HARRIS COUNTY TEXAS

DEFENDANT'S ORIGINAL ANSWER AND REQUEST FOR DISCLOSURE

TO THE HONORABLE JUDGE OF SAID COURT:

COMES NOW ALLSTATE VEHICLE AND PROPERTY INSURANCE COMPANY,
Defendants in the above styled and numbered cause of action, and in response to the complaints
filed against them, would respectfully show unto this Honorable Court and Jury as follows:

I. GENERAL DENIAL

Pursuant to Rule 92 of the Texas Rules of Civil Procedure, Defendant generally denies each and every, all and singular, the allegations contained within Plaintiff's Original Petition, and demand strict proof thereon by a preponderance of the credible evidence in accordance with the Constitution and laws of the State of Texas.

II. SPECIFIC DENIALS

In addition to any Notice required by the applicable insurance policy, Defendant denies that Plaintiff provided the pre-suit Notice required by Chapter 542A.003 of the Texas Insurance Code and Texas Business & Commerce Code § 17.505 (Texas Deceptive Trade Practices Act). Plaintiff's failure to provide the required notice under §542A.003 prior to the filing of this action constitutes a breach of that statute. Because Plaintiff's failed to give the notice required by §542A.003(b)(2) before filing this action, Plaintiffs are not entitled to recover attorneys' fees

Dafoe, et al. vs. Allstate
Defendants' Original Answer and Request for Disclosure
0543818546.1

Page 1 of 4

incurred after the date this defense was pled.

Plaintiffs' claims are barred, in whole or in part, by policy exclusions and/or limitations which are listed in the policy made the basis of this suit. All or part of Plaintiff's claims are excluded by the applicable insurance policy.

Plaintiffs failed to allege conduct warranting imposition of exemplary or punitive damages under applicable state law. Defendant asserts the limitations and restrictions contained in Chapter 41 of the Texas Civil Practice and Remedies Code.

Defendant hereby gives notice that it intends to rely upon such other defenses as may become available or apparent during the course of discovery and thus reserves its right to amend this answer.

III. REQUEST FOR DISCLOSURE

Pursuant to Texas Rules of Civil Procedure. Defendant requests that Plaintiff disclose within thirty days of service of this request, the information and material described in Rule 194.2(a) through (l). If this case was filed as an Expedited Action under TRCP 47(c)(1) and/or TRCP 190.2, Defendant further requests disclosure of any and all documents, electronic information, and tangible items that you have in your possession, custody or control and which may be used to support your claims or defenses.

IV. JURY DEMAND

Defendant formally requests a jury trial pursuant to Rule 216 of the Texas Rules of Civil Procedure and tenders the jury fee.

V. DESIGNATED E-SERVICE EMAIL ADDRESS

The following is the undersigned attorney's designation of electronic service email address for all electronically served documents and notices, filed and unfiled, pursuant to Tex.R.Civ.P. 21(f)(2) & 21(a). (HoustonLegal@allstate.com). This is the undersigned's ONLY electronic

Dafoe, et al. vs. Allstate
Defendants' Original Answer and Request for Disclosure
0543818546.1

service email address, and service through any other email address will be considered invalid.

VI. PRAYER

WHEREFORE, PREMISES CONSIDERED, Defendants, ALLSTATE VEHICLE AND PROPERTY INSURANCE COMPANY, prays that the Plaintiff recover nothing of and from the Defendants by reason of this suit, that Defendants be discharged without delay, with costs of court, and for such other and further relief, both general and special, at law and in equity, to which Defendants may show itself justly entitled, and for which Defendants will in duty bound, forever pray

Respectfully submitted,

SUSAN LOFLORENCE & ASSOCIATES

MICHAEL MAUS

TBN: 24008803

811 Louisiana St Ste 2400 Houston, TX 77002-1401

HoustonLegal@allstate.com

(713) 336-2842

(877) 684-4165 (fax)

ATTORNEY FOR DEFENDANT ALLSTATE VEHICLE AND PROPERTY INSURANCE COMPANY

Dafoe, et al. vs. Allstate Defendants' Original Answer and Request for Disclosure 0543818546.1

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

Pursuant to Rules 21. and 21a. of the Texas Rules of Civil Procedure, I hereby certify that the original of Defendants' Original Answer has been filed with the clerk of the court in writing, and a true and correct copy of Defendants' Original Answer has been delivered to all interested parties on the 13th day of

November, 2019, to:

Chad T. Wilson
Tara L. Peveto
CHAD T. WILSON LAW FIRM PLLC
455 E Medical Center Blvd, Ste 555
Webster, Texas 77598
eservice@cwilsonlaw.com

ATTORNEYS FOR PLAINTIFFS

VIA E-SERVE

MICHAEL MAUS